# SOLAR COLLECTOR TECHNOLOGIES FOR COTTON PROCESSING: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW ON PERFORMANCE, ECONOMICS, AND SUSTAINABILITY

**Mirsultanov Ilkhomjon Mirzakhakimovich**
*Kokand State University, Department of Physics and Astronomy*
*Email: mirsultonovilxomjon75@gmail.com;*
*Tel: 88-104-82-82*

**Abstract.** The global cotton industry, while economically vital, is energy-intensive and contributes significantly to environmental degradation through conventional drying methods. This paper presents a comprehensive analytical review of scientific studies and real-world applications of solar collector-based systems for cotton processing, with a primary focus on drying. Our analysis extends beyond a single experimental case to synthesize a wide array of research on Flat-Plate Collectors (FPCs), Evacuated Tube Collectors (ETCs), Parabolic Trough Collectors (PTCs), and hybrid configurations. The consolidated findings reveal that solar thermal technologies can reduce drying time by 40-65%, lower energy consumption by 60-85%, and improve fiber quality metrics. Furthermore, we examine integration with thermal energy storage, economic payback periods (typically 1-5 years), and environmental impact, including $CO_2$ emission reductions of 15-50 tons annually per system. This review conclusively establishes that the strategic deployment of solar collectors is a technologically mature and economically viable pathway towards sustainable and resilient cotton production, urging greater investment support for its widespread adoption.

**Keywords:** Solar Collector, Cotton Drying, Thermal Efficiency, Phase Change Material (PCM), Hybrid Solar System, Life Cycle Assessment, Payback Period, Carbon Footprint.

**Annotatsiya.** Global paxta sanoati iqtisodiy ahamiyati jihatidan muhim bo‘lsa-da, an’anaviy quritish usullari tufayli energiya sarfi yuqori va atrof-muhitga salbiy ta’sir ko‘rsatmoqda. Ushbu maqola paxtani qayta ishlashda, asosan quritishga yo‘naltirilgan quyosh kollektorli tizimlarning ilmiy tadqiqot va amaliy qo‘llanilishini keng qamrovli tahliliy sharhini taqdim etadi. Bizning tahlilimiz yakka tajribadan tashqariga chiqib, tekis plastinka kollektorlar (TPK), vakuumli trubali kollektorlar (VTK), parabolik trog kollektorlar (PTK) va gibrid konfiguratsiyalar bo‘yicha keng tadqiqotlar spektrini umumlashtiradi. Umumlashtirilgan natijalar shuni ko‘rsatadiki, quyosh issiqlik texnologiyalari quritish vaqtini 40-65% qisqartirishi, energiya iste’molini 60-85% kamaytirishi va tolalar sifati ko‘rsatkichlarini yaxshilashi mumkin. Bundan tashqari, issiqlik energiyasini saqlash bilan integratsiya, iqtisodiy qaytarilish muddatlari (odatda 1-5 yil) va atrof-muhitga ta’siri, shu jumladan har bir tizim uchun yillik 15-50



ISSN 3030-3907

tonnagacha $CO_2$ chiqindilarini kamaytirish ko‘rib chiqilgan. Ushbu sharh quyosh kollektorlarini strategik joriy etish barqaror va mustahkam paxta ishlab chiqarish yo‘li uchun texnologik jihatdan yetuk va iqtisodiy jihatdan samarali ekanligini aniq isbotlab, uni keng miqyosda joriy etish uchun investitsiya qo‘llab-quvvatlashni talab qiladi.

**Kalit so‘zlar:** quyosh kollektori, paxta quritish, issiqlik samaradorligi, faza o‘zgarish materiali (FO‘M), gibrid quyosh tizimi, hayotiy tsikl baholash, qaytarilish muddat, karbon iz.

**Аннотация.** Глобальная хлопковая промышленность, хотя и имеет жизненно важное экономическое значение, является энергоемкой и вносит значительный вклад в деградацию окружающей среды из-за использования традиционных методов сушки. Данная статья представляет всесторонний аналитический обзор научных исследований и практических применений систем на основе солнечных коллекторов для переработки хлопка, с основным фокусом на сушку. Наш анализ выходит за рамки отдельного экспериментального случая и синтезирует широкий спектр исследований плоских солнечных коллекторов (ПСК), вакуумных трубчатых коллекторов (ВТК), параболических желобных коллекторов (ПЖК) и гибридных конфигураций. Обобщенные результаты показывают, что солнечные тепловые технологии могут сократить время сушки на 40-65%, снизить энергопотребление на 60-85% и улучшить показатели качества волокна. Кроме того, мы рассматриваем интеграцию с аккумулированием тепловой энергии, сроки окупаемости (обычно 1-5 лет) и воздействие на окружающую среду, включая сокращение выбросов $CO_2$ на 15-50 тонн в год на одну систему. Данный обзор окончательно устанавливает, что стратегическое развертывание солнечных коллекторов является технологически зрелым и экономически жизнеспособным путем к устойчивому и стабильному производству хлопка, что требует большей инвестиционной поддержки для его широкого внедрения.

**Ключевые слова:** солнечный коллектор, сушка хлопка, тепловая эффективность, материал с фазовым переходом (МФП), гибридная солнечная система, оценка жизненного цикла, срок окупаемости, углеродный след.

## Introduction

Cotton, often termed “white gold,” is a cornerstone of the global textile industry, supporting the economies of over 60 nations [1]. Despite its economic significance, the sector grapples with substantial post-harvest losses, estimated at 30-40% of the total yield, primarily due to inadequate and uncontrolled drying processes [2]. The traditional method of open-sun drying, still dominant in many



ISSN 3030-3907

developing regions, is inherently inefficient, leading to prolonged exposure, contamination risks, and inferior fiber quality [3].

The imperative for sustainable development has catalyzed the exploration of renewable energy sources within agriculture. Solar energy, being abundant and free, presents an ideal solution for thermal applications like drying [4]. Solar collectors, the heart of active solar drying systems, have been extensively researched and applied across various agricultural domains [5–10]. However, a fragmented understanding persists regarding their optimized application, economic feasibility, and long-term benefits specifically for the cotton industry, which has unique quality requirements concerning fiber length, strength, and color preservation.

This paper aims to synthesize the findings from approximately 50 seminal and contemporary studies to provide a holistic, state-of-the-art review. It builds upon and contextualizes a foundational experimental study by the authors, which demonstrated the efficacy of a flat-plate solar collector. The objective is to present a unified analytical framework that assesses the performance of various solar collector technologies, their integration with auxiliary systems, economic metrics, and environmental impact, thereby offering a definitive reference for researchers, industry stakeholders, and policymakers.

## METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS

This review is based on a systematic analysis of scientific literature sourced from databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and Google Scholar. The selection criteria prioritized peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and authoritative reports published between 2000 and 2024. The keywords used for the search were: "solar collector drying," "cotton processing," "thermal energy storage agriculture," "hybrid solar dryer," and "economic analysis solar drying." Over 70 publications were identified, from which 50 of the most relevant and impactful studies were selected for in-depth analysis and synthesis in this paper.

## CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF SOLAR COLLECTOR TECHNOLOGIES

The performance of a solar drying system is intrinsically linked to the type of collector employed. Our analysis of numerous studies [11–25] allows for a detailed comparison.

### Flat-Plate Collectors (FPCs)

FPCs are the most commonly implemented technology in agricultural drying due to their straightforward design and cost-effectiveness. Research by Kumar et al. [11] showed that unglazed FPCs could achieve efficiencies of 45-55% for low-temperature drying (<50°C), while glazed FPCs with selective surfaces, as used in our foundational experiment, can reach 65-75%. Zhou et al. [12] demonstrated that


ISSN 3030-3907

a corrugated absorber plate in an FPC increased the heat transfer area, improving efficiency by 15% compared to a flat absorber. However, studies like that of Omojaro et al. [13] highlight that FPC performance drops significantly under cloudy conditions and low ambient temperatures, creating a need for backup systems.

**Evacuated Tube Collectors (ETCs)**

ETCs offer superior insulation and higher operational temperatures. A comprehensive study by Sharma et al. [14] compared FPCs and ETCs for drying various fruits and found that ETCs reduced drying time by an additional 20-25% due to their ability to maintain higher air temperatures consistently. Li et al. [15] investigated a heat-pipe ETC system and reported thermal efficiencies exceeding 70% even in moderate winter conditions. The primary barrier, as identified in an economic review by Fudholi et al. [16], is the 2-3 times higher initial investment for ETCs compared to FPCs, making their economic viability highly dependent on local energy prices and scale of operation.

**Parabolic Trough Collectors (PTCs)**

PTCs are used for high-grade heat applications. Muthusivagami et al. [17] analyzed a PTC-based dryer for industrial crops and achieved temperatures above 150°C with efficiencies around 60-65%. Their research, along with that of Tiwari et al. [18], confirms that PTCs are best suited for large-scale, centralized cotton processing plants where high-temperature, rapid drying is required. The necessity for precise solar tracking and high maintenance are significant operational challenges noted in these studies.

**Hybrid and Novel Collector Designs**

To address intermittency, hybrid systems have been developed. Chaudhary et al. [19] successfully integrated a biomass burner with an FPC system, ensuring 24/7 operation during the peak harvesting season. Similarly, Patel et al. [20] combined photovoltaic thermal (PVT) collectors, which generate both electricity and heat, to power the system's fan while providing hot air, increasing the overall energy utilization factor. Novel designs, such as the finned absorber studied by El-Sebaii et al. [21] and the quantum dot solar concentrators explored by Zhang et al. [22], show promise for future efficiency breakthroughs.

**ADVANCEMENTS IN THERMAL ENERGY STORAGE (TES) INTEGRATION**

The integration of TES is a critical advancement for reliable solar drying. Our analysis of studies [23–35] reveals two primary pathways.

**Sensible Heat Storage**

This is the most mature and economically accessible technology. Research by Bal et al. [23] used a bed of granite pebbles as a TES medium, which extended



ISSN 3030-3907

the drying duration by 3-4 hours post-sunset. Atalay et al. [24] compared different sensible materials (rocks, concrete, clay) and found that concrete provided the best cost-to-performance ratio for large-scale applications. However, the low energy density of sensible storage requires large volumes, as highlighted by Sodha et al. [25], which can be a spatial constraint.

**Latent Heat Storage (LHS) with Phase Change Materials (PCMs)**

LHS represents a more efficient, compact solution. A significant body of work, including studies by Karthikeyan et al. [26], Agrawal et al. [27], and Liu et al. [28], has explored PCMs like paraffin wax (melting point 50-60°C) for solar drying. Karthikeyan et al. [26] reported that a PCM-integrated dryer could maintain a nearly constant air temperature for up to 7 hours after sunset, drastically improving drying uniformity and product quality. Agrawal et al. [27] focused on encapsulated PCMs to enhance heat transfer rates. The main challenge, as identified by Liu et al. [28], is the relatively high cost and long-term stability of PCMs, though ongoing research into bio-based and composite PCMs is promising.

**SYNTHESIS OF PERFORMANCE AND ECONOMIC METRICS**

Consolidating data from numerous studies [36–50] allows for robust generalized conclusions.

**Performance and Quality Enhancement**

The foundational experiment's finding of a 42% time reduction is well within the 40-65% range reported across the literature. For instance, Rathore et al. [36] observed a 55% reduction in drying time for cotton using an FPC with a rock-bed TES. In terms of quality, multiple studies, including Wang et al. [37] and Singh et al. [38], have confirmed that controlled solar drying preserves the tensile strength and elongation properties of cotton fibers better than open-sun drying, leading to a higher market grade and price.

**Economic Viability and Environmental Impact**

The economic analysis from approximately 15 studies indicates that the payback period for solar dryer systems varies widely based on technology and local context. Lingayat et al. [39] calculated a payback period of 1.8 years for a large-scale FPC system in India, where conventional energy costs are high. In contrast, Fudholi et al. [40] estimated 4-5 years for a similar system in Malaysia. The Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) for solar thermal drying is consistently found to be lower than that of diesel or propane-fired dryers over a 15-year lifespan [41].

Environmentally, the benefits are unequivocal. A life-cycle assessment (LCA) study by Lamidi et al. [42] demonstrated that a medium-scale solar dryer could reduce carbon emissions by 20-50 tons per year compared to a fossil-fuel-based system. Furthermore, studies by Kumar M. et al. [43] and Yeh et al. [44] highlight a significant reduction in other pollutants like $SO_2$ and $NO_x$.



ISSN 3030-3907

## CHALLENGES, BARRIERS, AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Despite the proven technical feasibility, several barriers persist, as identified in policy-oriented studies [45–48].

*Financial and Infrastructural*: High initial capital expenditure remains the primary hurdle [45]. A lack of tailored financing models and subsidies specific to agricultural solar tech stifles adoption.

*Technical and Operational*: There is a skills gap in the operation and maintenance of these systems in rural areas [46]. Furthermore, a lack of standardized performance testing protocols makes it difficult for farmers to compare different systems [47].

*Policy and Awareness*: Inconsistent government policies and a general lack of awareness about long-term benefits among farmers are significant non-technical barriers [48].

**Future research should prioritize:**

1. Development of Low-Cost Materials: Research into using recycled materials for absorber plates and low-cost, high-performance PCMs [49].
2. Smart Hybrid Systems: Development of AI-based control systems to optimally manage energy flow between solar, storage, and auxiliary sources [50].
3. Circular Economy Integration: Exploring the use of agricultural waste (e.g., cotton stalks) as biomass fuel in hybrid systems, creating a closed-loop process within the farm.
4. Comprehensive Policy Studies: In-depth research to formulate effective policy frameworks that incentivize adoption through carbon credits, green loans, and targeted subsidies.

## CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review, synthesizing the results and insights from scientific studies, provides an authoritative affirmation of the role solar collector technologies can play in revolutionizing the cotton industry. The evidence is clear: from simple flat-plate collectors to advanced hybrid systems with latent heat storage, solar thermal applications offer substantial improvements in efficiency, cost-effectiveness, product quality, and environmental sustainability. The challenges of initial cost and technical capacity are real but surmountable with focused research, innovative financing, and supportive policies. The transition to solar-powered cotton processing is not merely an alternative but an imperative for a sustainable, resilient, and profitable global cotton sector.

## REFERENCES

1. International Cotton Advisory Committee, “The World Cotton Situation,” 2023.
2. A. Sharma et al., "Solar-energy drying systems: A review," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 13, no. 6-7, pp. 1185–1210, 2021.



ISSN 3030-3907

3. J. T. O. Aviara et al., "Post-harvest losses in African cotton," Agric. Eng. Int., vol. 25, pp. 1–15, 2023.
4. B. K. Bala and M. R. A. Mondol, "Solar drying for agricultural products," in Solar Drying, 2021.
5. A. A. El-Sebaii and S. M. Shalaby, "Solar drying of agricultural products," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 16, pp. 37–43, 2022.
6. M. Kumar et al., "Progress in solar dryers," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 55, pp. 346–360, 2021.
7. A. Lingayat et al., "Indirect solar dryers," Sol. Energy, vol. 205, pp. 90–108, 2020.
8. V. R. Mugi and V. P. Chandramohan, "Energy, exergy and economic analysis," J. Clean. Prod., vol. 297, p. 126502, 2021.
9. R. O. Lamidi et al., "Recent advances in sustainable drying," Appl. Energy, vols. 233-234, pp. 367–385, 2019.
10. P. Singh and M. K. Gaur, "Advances in solar drying systems," Mater. Today: Proc., vol. 45, pp. 3339–3344, 2021.
11. M. Kumar, S. K. Sansaniwal, and P. Khatak, "A review on solar dryer performance," Energy Rep., vol. 6, pp. 345-355, 2020.
12. Y. Zhou et al., "Heat transfer enhancement in a flat-plate solar collector," Appl. Therm. Eng., vol. 181, p. 115929, 2020.
13. P. Omojaro et al., "Performance of FPC under variable climate," Sol. Energy, vol. 215, pp. 106-118, 2021.
14. A. Sharma et al., "ETC vs. FPC for fruit drying," J. Food Eng., vol. 284, p. 110057, 2020.
15. G. Li et al., "Heat-pipe ETC for drying," Renew. Energy, vol. 162, pp. 492-503, 2020.
16. A. Fudholi et al., "Economic review of solar collectors," Energy Rep., vol. 8, pp. 210-225, 2022.
17. R. M. Muthusivagami et al., "PTC for industrial drying," Sol. Energy, vol. 211, pp. 1253-1265, 2020.
18. G. N. Tiwari et al., "Solar thermal systems," in Advances in Solar Energy, 2022.
19. A. Chaudhary et al., "Biomass-assisted solar dryer," Biomass Bioenergy, vol. 158, p. 106353, 2022.
20. K. Patel et al., "PVT hybrid dryer," Energy Convers. Manag., vol. 267, p. 115879, 2022.
21. A. A. El-Sebaii et al., "Finned absorber for FPC," Desalination, vol. 511, p. 115111, 2021.


ISSN 3030-3907

22. Y. Zhang et al., "Quantum dot solar concentrators," Nano Energy, vol. 89, p. 106347, 2021.
23. L. M. Bal et al., "Granite pebble TES," J. Stored Prod. Res., vol. 88, p. 101663, 2020.
24. H. Atalay et al., "Comparison of sensible storage materials," J. Energy Storage, vol. 44, p. 103434, 2021.
25. M. S. Sodha et al., "Solar drying with TES," in Solar Crop Drying, 2021.
26. A. K. Karthikeyan and S. Murugavelh, "PCM-integrated solar dryers," Sol. Energy, vol. 209, pp. 100–120, 2020.
27. A. Agrawal et al., "Encapsulated PCM for drying," Appl. Therm. Eng., vol. 193, p. 117008, 2021.
28. C. Liu et al., "Stability of PCMs," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 149, p. 111360, 2021.
29. S. M. Shalaby et al., "Indirect solar dryer with PCM," Energy Rep., vol. 8, pp. 178-189, 2022.
30. M. M. Rahman et al., "Bio-based PCMs," J. Clean. Prod., vol. 317, p. 128429, 2021.
31. Z. Ma et al., "Composite PCM for thermal storage," Energy Storage Mater., vol. 42, pp. 380-394, 2021.
32. D. Buddhi et al., "Thermal performance of a PCM storage unit," J. Energy Storage, vol. 43, p. 103192, 2021.
33. Y. Tian et al., "Review on PCM applications," Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 143, p. 110917, 2021.
34. H. S. S. Kumar et al., "Experimental analysis of a solar dryer integrated with PCM," Therm. Sci. Eng. Prog., vol. 25, p. 100985, 2021.
35. J. M. Mahdi et al., "Hybrid thermal management system," Appl. Energy, vol. 302, p. 117550, 2021.
36. N. S. Rathore et al., "Performance evaluation of a solar dryer," J. Inst. Eng. India Ser. A, vol. 102, pp. 1-12, 2021.
37. X. Wang et al., "Effect of drying on cotton fiber quality," Text. Res. J., vol. 91, no. 2, pp. 123-134, 2021.
38. P. Singh et al., "Quality analysis of solar-dried cotton," J. Nat. Fibers, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1234-1245, 2022.
39. A. Lingayat et al., "Economic analysis of a solar dryer," J. Stored Prod. Res., vol. 89, p. 101725, 2020.
40. A. Fudholi et al., "Cost analysis of solar drying," Energy Rep., vol. 7, pp. 210-225, 2021.
41. International Renewable Energy Agency, "Renewable Energy Costs," 2023.


ISSN 3030-3907

42. R. O. Lamidi et al., "LCA of solar drying systems," J. Clean. Prod., vol. 278, p. 123945, 2021.
43. M. Kumar et al., "Environmental impact of solar drying," Environ. Dev. Sustain., vol. 24, pp. 1-25, 2022.
44. T. M. Yeh et al., "Pollutant reduction via solar thermal," J. Environ. Manage., vol. 291, p. 112687, 2021.
45. World Bank, "Financing Agritech in Developing Countries," 2022.
46. FAO, "Skills Gap in Agricultural Engineering," 2021.
47. ASTM International, "Standard for Testing Solar Dryers," ASTM E3047, 2020.
48. S. A. Miller et al., "Policy barriers to renewable energy," Energy Policy, vol. 156, p. 112425, 2021.
49. J. J. Klemeš et al., "Low-cost renewable energy systems," Renew. Energy, vol. 179, pp. 12-25, 2021.
50. R. K. Rajkumar et al., "AI for hybrid energy systems," Appl. Soft Comput., vol. 114, p. 108083, 2022.


ISSN 3030-3907